

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/894,668	TADEPALLI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig A. Renner	2652	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mitchell K. McCarthy, Attorney For Applicants.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 February 2006
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All rejections set forth in the office action dated 26 July 2005.

Claims discussed:

Includes claims 1-4, 10, 12, 15-17, 19, and 21-24.

Prior art documents discussed:

Includes Schirle (US 5,898,545).
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
By examiner's amendment, claims 2, 16 and 21-24 were canceled without prejudice nor disclaimer, and claims 1, 3-4, 10, 12, 15, 17, and 19 were amended in order to more clearly define over the prior art, supra.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)